

## DOCTORAL THESIS EVALUATION REPORT

Author's name			
Title of the thesis			
Name of evaluator		Identity card/ Passport	
University / Institution		Country	

(\*) If the evaluator is not part of the thesis committee, he/she must complete and attach the [IC-32](#) form with this report

### ASSESSMENT OF THE REPORT

Please assess whether the following four aspects of the thesis report are adequately fulfilled by marking YES or NO as appropriate. **If any aspect is marked NO**, a reasoned and detailed explanation should be added in the space on the following page.

YES      NO

**1. Scientific, technical, legal-social, humanistic, or artistic quality, depending on the matter.** The following aspects should be evaluated:

- The relevance of the object of study, the problems addressed, or the proposed activities to advance knowledge and understanding within the field.
- The expected results and their contribution to scientific knowledge, determining whether the thesis objectives and hypotheses are coherent and allow the expected results to be achieved.

**2. Novelty and originality.** The following aspects should be evaluated:

- The relevance and originality of the thesis in terms of the state of the art in its academic field. It should be assessed whether the thesis contains modifications to existing processes, proposes new hypothesis, concepts, methods, objectives, or innovative solutions to the problem posed.
- Whether the thesis presents a summary of the general context (national and international) in which the topic or hypothesis is located, assessing how it examines the current state of knowledge of the problem, highlights existing weak points, and identifies the gap that the thesis aims to cover.

**3. Methodology and results.** The following aspects should be evaluated:

- The organisation of the thesis in relation to its proposed objectives and the resources used to achieve them (timeframe, methodology, and resources used).
- The scope of the results obtained and their coherence with the objectives and/or hypotheses set out.

**4. Structure, format, and writing.** The following aspects should be evaluated:

- The correctness of spelling, clarity, and the quality of the language used.
- The use of precise technical terminology.
- The appropriate use of bibliography that is both relevant and up to date.
- The appropriate application of the scientific method (if applicable).
- The quality of tables and figures used.

### RECOMMENDATION ON THE DEFENCE OF THE DOCTORAL THESIS

Favourable report to proceed to public defence

Favourable report to proceed to public defence, conditional upon the candidate making the modifications indicated below

Unfavourable report to proceed to public defence. The work requires the substantial modifications indicated below

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (\*)**

In the case of a conditional favourable report, specify the necessary corrections, with an indication of the deadline set for the doctoral candidate to present the allegations and/or modifications requested, which may not exceed three months.

*(\*) If space is insufficient, please attach your comments as an annex document.*

**Date:**

**Evaluator's signature:**

**Approved by the doctoral programme coordinator:**